Jan-02-05 06:59P

JAN 0 2 2005

P.01

Be Henry
IP Legal Department - MS#110701
20555 SH 249, Houston, TX 77070

Tel: 281-518-5992 Fax: 281-514-8332



# FAX: USPTO - APPEAL BRIEFS

To:	Examiner Michael Yigdall	From:	Philip Lyren (281-514-8236)		
	Art Group 2122				
Fax:	703/ 872-9306	Pages:	11 + cover		
Phone:		Date:	Jan 2, 2005		
Re:	200308325-1 (09/754890)	Title	SYSTEM & METHOD FOR FOR VERIFYING I PROGRAM CORRECTNESS AND PROVIDING RECOVERABLE EXECUTION TRACE INFORM	3	
□ Urg	ent x For Review	☐ Please Comment	☐ Please Reply ☐ Please Re	cycle	
• Comments:					
Transmitted herewith are the following documents:					
Response to Examiner's Answer dated December 3, 2004 ( 3 pages) in triplicate					
•	Transmittal coversheet for Reply Brief (in duplicate) (2 pages)				
Please process these documents in your usual and customary manner.					
Thank you for your assistance.					
Be Henry					
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION					
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office number 703-872-9306 on					

Confidentiality Note: The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain information from Hewlett-Packard Company which is confidential or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this faxed information is prohibited. If you have received this faxesimile in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the original documents at no cost to you.

If you do not receive all the pages, or if there is any difficulty in receiving, please call: 281-518-5992 and ask for the operator named above.

**HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY** Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, Colorado 80527-2400

PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. - POR 20030 CENTRAL FAX CENTER

اردا دارونيد وسترسيرهما

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s):

TODD DAVID MILLSTEIN et al

Confirmation No.: 4747

Application No.: 09/754890

Examiner: Michael Yigdall

Filing Date:

Jan 05, 2001

Group Art Unit: 2122

Title:

SYSTEM & METHOD FOR FOR VERIFYING COMPUTER PROGRAM CORRECTNESS AND

PROVIDING RECOVERABLE EXECUTION TRACE INFORMATION

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### TRANSMITTAL OF REPLY BRIEF

Sir:

Transmitted herewith in triplicate is the Reply Brief with respect to the Examiner's Answer mailed \_\_. This Reply Brief is being filed pursuant to 37 CFR 1.193(b) within two 12/3/2004 months of the date of the Examiner's Answer.

(Note: Extensions of time are not allowed under 37 CFR 1.136(a))

(Note: Failure to file a Reply Brief will result in dismissal of the Appeal as to the claims made subject to an expressly stated new grounds of rejection.)

No fee is required for filing of this Reply Brief.

If any fees are required please charge Deposit Account 08-2025.

( ) I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents. Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. Date of Deposit. OR

(X) I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office facsimile nym (703) 872-9306

Number of pages:

Typed Name: Be Henry

Respectfully submitted,

TODD DAVID MILLSTEIL et al

Philip Lyren

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Reg. No.

Telephone No.: (281) 514-8236

Rev 12/04 (ReplyByf)

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P. O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, Colorado 80527-2400

PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 200308325-1

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s):

TODD DAVID MILLSTEIN et al

Confirmation No.: 4747

Application No.: 09/754890

Examiner: Michael Yigdall

Filing Date:

Jan 05, 2001

Group Art Unit: 2122

Title:

SYSTEM & METHOD FOR FOR VERIFYING COMPUTER PROGRAM CORRECTNESS AND

PROVIDING RECOVERABLE EXECUTION TRACE INFORMATION

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### TRANSMITTAL OF REPLY BRIEF

Sir:

Transmitted herewith in *triplicate* is the Reply Brief with respect to the Examiner's Answer mailed on \_\_\_\_\_\_\_. This Reply Brief is being filed pursuant to 37 CFR 1.193(b) within two months of the date of the Examiner's Answer.

(Note: Extensions of time are not allowed under 37 CFR 1.136(a))

(Note: Failure to file a Reply Brief will result in dismissal of the Appeal as to the claims made subject to an expressly stated new grounds of rejection.)

No fee is required for filing of this Reply Brief.

If any fees are required please charge Deposit Account 08-2025.

(X) I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office fecsimile number on (703) 872-9396

Number of pages:

Typed Name: Be Henry

Signature:

Respectfully submitted,

TODO DAVID MILLSTEIN et al

Philip Lyren

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 40,709

Date: 1 /2 /05

Telephone No.: (281) 514-8236

Rev 12/04 (ReplyOrl)

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

Leino et al.

Examiner: Michael J. Yigdall

Serial No.:

09/754,890

Group Art Unit: 2122

Filed:

January 5, 2001

Docket No.: 200308325-1

Title:

System and Method for Verifying Computer Program Correctness and

Providing Recoverable Execution Trace Information

RECEIVED CENTER

## REPLY BRIEF UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 41.41

JAN 0 2 2005

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This **Reply Brief** is filed in response to the Examiner's Answer dated December 3, 2004.

## **AUTHORIZATION TO DEBIT ACCOUNT**

It is believed that no extensions of time or fees for net addition of claims are required, beyond those, which may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, in the event that additional extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), and any fees required therefore (including fees for net addition of claims) are hereby authorized to be charged to Hewlett-Packard Development Company's deposit account no. 08-2025.

App. No.: 09/754,890 Reply Brief

## **REMARKS**

Appellants reiterate that claims 1 and 47 recite recitations that are not taught or suggested in Detlefs and/or Chan. These claims recite an error message that includes "a program trace that identifies a path through the computer program when the counter-example identifies one or more of the flow control labels" (emphasis added).

The Examiner disagrees with Appellants and contends (see Examiner's Answer at p. 21):

However, Detlefs teaches error messages that identify source positions in the computer program based on the labels identified in the counter-example (see, for example, page 29, section 6, paragraph 2, lines 1-4 and 7-9). Thus, Detlefs teaches an error message including a program trace that identifies positions in the computer program when the counter-example identifies one or more of the labels.

Chan teaches detecting and verifying conditional branches along the execution path of the computer program (see, for example, column 1, lines 26-35). Chan further teaches markers and scalars for <u>identifying paths of execution</u> in the computer program (see, for example, column 1, lines 38-53).

Therefore, in combination, Detlefs and Chan teach an error message including a program trace that identifies a path through the computer program when the counter-example identifies one or more of the flow control labels.

Appellants respectfully disagree with the conclusion of the Examiner. Detlefs teaches a checker to report specific error messages. Detlefs even teaches a set of labels and further states: "The name of the label encodes the source position and error type." (see Detlefs at p. 29). However, the ability to identify a single error location or multiple error locations does not provide an error message that identifies a path through the computer program. In fact, Appellants' specification even notes this deficiency in the prior art (see US 2002/0046393 A1 at [0042]):

The ability to identify a location in the source where an error arises is well known in the art, but the error messages produced are not as informative as one might wish because they do not reveal the path that the program would take to encounter the error.

App. No.: 09/754,890 Reply Brief

Appellants respectfully ask that words in the claim be given their plain meaning. Merriam-Webster is an online dictionary (www.merriam-webster.com) that provides the following definitions:

### Path:

1: a trodden way

2: a track specially constructed for a particular use

3 a: COURSE, ROUTE b: a way of life, conduct, or thought

4 a: the continuous series of positions or configurations that can be assumed in any motion or process of change by a moving or varying system b: a sequence of arcs in a network that can be traced continuously without retracing any arc.

## Trace:

1 archaic: a course or path that one follows 2 a: a mark or line left by something that has passed; also: FOOTPRINT b: a path, trail, or road made by the passage of animals, people, or vehicles

For at least these reasons, Appellants respectfully request reversal of the rejection of all claims.

Respectfully submitted.

Philip S. Lyren Reg. No. 40,709

Ph: 281-514-8236

## CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8

The undersigned hereby certifies that this paper or papers, as described herein, are being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office number 703-872-9306 on this 2nd day of January, 2005.

Name: Be Henry